Application No. 10/023,357 Response Dated May 24, 2006

In Reply to USPTO Office Communication Dated February 24, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 2625-011763

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.105

The undersigned has been advised that elite material of 'Penjul' for

establishing mother plants was provided to propagators in Europe at the end of 1999 and that

there were some commercial sales of 'Penjul' in Europe at the beginning of the 2000 season.

It appears from the information provided to the undersigned that 13 companies received

mother plants of 'Penjul' and had sales ranging from 80 plants to 14,648 plants in Europe.

Royalties were paid on 42,882 plants for the year 2000 sales. Since this was a new variety, it

is believed by the undersigned that some of these sales were for testing purposes, but the

exact number or percentage is not known.

The undersigned has further been advised that commercial sales of 'Penjul' did

not occur in the United States until the 2004/2005 season.

In view of the above, the scant information available in Plant Breeders' Rights

publications relating to 'Penjul' would not have enabled one of ordinary skill in the art to

reproduce the claimed plant. Thus, a rejection under 35 §102(b) is wholly inappropriate in

this instance.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, allowance of the claim is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

Patricia A Olosi

Patricia A. Olosky Registration No. 53,411

Attorney for Applicant

436 Seventh Avenue

700 Koppers Building

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 471-8815 Facsimile: (412) 471-4094

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com